

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 JOHNNY LEE JONES III,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 JOHN DOE #1, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01039-APG-NJK


**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 13]

8 On January 14, 2025, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss this case  
9 because plaintiff Johnny Lee Jones III did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline.  
10 ECF No. 13. Jones did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the  
11 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo  
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is  
13 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the  
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*  
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation  
17 (ECF No. 13) is accepted, and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is  
18 instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 30th day of January, 2025.

20  
21   
22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE